-////

Relief Device Stability Screening

For Spring Loaded API STD 526 Valves

Dustin Smith, P.E. Craig Powers, PhD



Process Safety Consulting



Semi-Validated Method from Literature

AGENDA

- Introduction
- Background
- Goals of the screening method
- Methodology
- Sample problems
- Review compared to known data
- Recommendations for any future work
- Discussion





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

INTRODUCTION

Dustin Smith P.E.

PRINCIPAL ENGINEER SMITH & BURGESS PROCESS SAFETY CONSULTING

Craig Powers PhD

Senior Engineer SMITH & BURGESS PROCESS SAFETY CONSULTING





BACKGROUND - Problem

- There are known chatter incidents that resulted in a "loss of containment"
- Relatively rare occurrence
- Industry // regulatory difference of opinion on "Relaxing" the 3% rule

Historically, un-managed (or studied) change leads to increased problems





GOAL OF THE SCREENING METHODOLOGY

- 1. Focused on vapor / gas systems
- 2. Categorize installations into two buckets
 - Free from chatter
 - May chatter
- 3. Equations that can be done by hand
- 4. Relies on minimal valve specific information
- 5. All criteria must be passed

The methodology does not predict chatter intensity, or frequency





Methodology Basis

- Based on known work
 - 80's ASME / EPRI Research
 - 99-02 Research (From Germany)
- Validated (to date)
 - Published API Perf Data
 - Zahorsky's ASME/ EPRI Data





Mechanisms of Chatter – Literature Review

- 1. Inlet line length
- 2. Excessive inlet pressure losses
- 3. Standing waves
- 4. Oversized relief devices
- 5. Improper relief device installation

All criteria <u>must</u> be met to be considered acceptable





Inlet Line Length – Literature Review

- 1. Theory
 - 1. Valve opens
 - 2. Reduced pressure area forms
 - 3. Pressure wave travels to some point
 - 4. Gets reflected back and "Supports" the disk
- 2. Published equation basis (Source 9)

$$t_{open} > \frac{2L}{c}; \quad \Delta P \leq \frac{2t_{wave}}{t_{open}}; \quad L_{Allowable} = f\left(\Delta P_{Chosen}, t_{open}\right)$$





Inlet Line Length - Various Equations

1. Direct solution of the basis equation

$$L < 111.5t_{open}\sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}}; \quad t_{open} > \frac{2L}{c}; \quad c = 223\sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}}$$

2. Frommann & Friedel (1998, Source 6)

$$L_{i} < 9,078 \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{W_{\% O}} (P_{s} - P_{B}) t_{o}$$

Assumes a 20% sudden pressure loss is acceptable





Inlet Line Length - Various Equations

3. Frommann & Friedel (1998, Source 6)

$$L_{i} < 45,390 \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{W_{\%0}} \left(\frac{P_{s} - P_{rc}}{P_{s}}\right) \left(P_{s} - P_{B}\right) t_{o}$$

Assumes sudden pressure loss is limited by blowdown

4. Cremers, Friedel, Pallaks (2001, Source 9)

My implementation was not substantiated by the 99-05 PERF PRV Stability Project





Inlet Pressure Losses- Literature Review

- 1. Theory (EPRI / ASME)
 - 1. Valve opens
 - 2. Pressure develops (both acoustic and frictional)
 - 3. Valve closes (repeat)
- 2. Published equations (Source 32)

$$P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Acoustic}$$

$$\Delta P_{Acoustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_i^2 t_o} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_i t_o}\right)^2$$





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Standing Waves - Literature Review

- 1. Theory
 - 1. High process flow velocity
 - 2. Vortex Shedding occurs at the tie-in point
 - 3. Standing waves form
- 2. Published equations (Source 10)





It has been speculated that Helmholtz resonance may occur (34) but generally is not considered to cause destructive chatter (35, 36).





Oversized relief devices

- Conventional wisdom concern when the capacity is less than 25% (Sources 22, 29)
- 2. Valve operation
 - 1. Pressure in vessel increases
 - 2. Valve opens, capacity depends on inlet/outlet conditions
 - 3. If flow to vessel is more than capacity pressure increases if not it decreases.
 - 4. Cycle time related to flow and volume (not only rate)





Oversized relief devices

- 1. If destructive chatter was caused by oversize devices:
 - 1. Problem would be extensive
 - 2. No solution
- 2. High frequency chatter > 1 hz (per manufacturers)

$$w_{PSV} > 4w_{required}$$

And,

$$1 > t_{cycle} = t_{P_{Blowdown} \to P_{Set}} + t_{P_{set} \to P_{Blowdown}}$$





Installation Guidelines

- 1. No inlet restrictions [UG-135(b)(1), Source 15]
- No outlet restrictions / backpressure issues (Sources, 3, 9, 12, 23, 25)
- 3. Balanced Bellows vents open (Source 24)
- 4. Pocketed outlet piping (Source 1)





Supporting Equations // Assumptions

1. Relief valve opening time [Source 9]

$$t_{o} \approx \left(0.015 + 0.02 \frac{\sqrt{2d_{PSVi}}}{\left(P_{s}/P_{ATM}\right)^{2/3} \left(1 - P_{ATM}/P_{s}\right)^{2}}\right) \left(\frac{h}{h_{\text{max}}}\right)^{0.7}$$







Semi-Validated Method from Literature





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.1 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
<	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	>
	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$L < 111.5t_{0}\sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}} < 111.5 \left[\left(0.015 + 0.02 \frac{\sqrt{2d_{PSVi}}}{(P_{s}/P_{ATM})^{2/3}(1 - P_{ATM}/P_{s})^{2}} \right) \left(\frac{h}{h_{max}}\right)^{0.7} \right] \sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}} \\ L < 111.5 \left[\left(0.015 + 0.02 \frac{\sqrt{2 \times 2.1}}{(64.7/14.7)^{2/3}(1 - 14.7/64.7)^{2}} \right) (0.6)^{0.7} \right] \sqrt{\frac{1.4(85 + 460)}{28.8}} \\ L < 111.5 \left[0.028 \right] \sqrt{\frac{1.4(545)}{28}} < 16.1 ft$$



Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.1 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Тад	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
<	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	>

$$L < 111.5 \left(0.015 + 0.02 \frac{\sqrt{2d_{PSVi}}}{(P_s/P_{ATM})^{2/3} (1 - P_{ATM}/P_s)^2} \right) \left(\frac{h}{h_{max}}\right)^{0.7} \sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}}$$

$$L < 111.5 \left(0.015 + 0.02 \frac{\sqrt{2 \times 0.957}}{(264.7/14.7)^{2/3} (1 - 14.7/264.7)^2} \right) (0.6)^{0.7} \sqrt{\frac{1.4(85 + 460)}{28.8}}$$

$$L < 111.5 (0.014) \sqrt{\frac{1.4(545)}{28.8}} < 8.0 ft$$



Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	<u>(PSIG)</u>	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
<	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	>
	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$L_i < 9,078 \frac{d_i^2}{w_{\%0}} \left(P_s - P_B\right) t_o < 9,078 \frac{2.1^2}{7,060 \times 0.6} \left(50 - 4\right) 0.028$$

L < 12.2 *ft*





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}	N/1\A/	
	Number		LIIL (70)	(11)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(
	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
<	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	>

$$L_i < 9,078 \frac{d_i^2}{w_{\%0}} \left(P_s - P_B\right) t_o < 9,078 \frac{0.957^2}{4,470 \times 0.6} \left(250 - 20\right) 0.014$$

L < 10 ft





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.3 – Line Length)

	PSV		Inlet					
Тад	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	>
PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$L_{i} < 45,390 \frac{d_{i}^{2}}{w_{\%0}} \left(\frac{P_{s} - P_{rc}}{P_{s}}\right) (P_{s} - P_{B}) t_{o} < 45,390 \frac{2.1^{2}}{7,060 \times 0.6} (0.08) (50 - 4) 0.028$$

Where, $blowdown = \left(\frac{P_{s} - P_{rc}}{P_{s}}\right) = 8\%$
 $L < 4.9 ft$





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 1.3 – Line Length)

	PSV		Inlet					
Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	>

$$L_i < 45,390 \frac{d_i^2}{w_{\%0}} \left(\frac{P_s - P_{rc}}{P_s}\right) \left(P_s - P_B\right) t_o < 45,390 \frac{0.957^2}{4,470 \times 0.6} (0.025) (250 - 20) 0.014$$

L < 1.25 ft





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
<	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	>
	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad \Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_{i}^{2}t_{O}} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_{i}t_{O}}\right)^{2}$$

$$C = 223\sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}} = 223\sqrt{\frac{1.4(85+460)}{28.8}} = 1150\frac{ft}{s}, \quad \rho = \frac{P_{Set}MW}{RT} = \frac{64.7 \times 28.8}{10.73 \times 545} = 0.32\frac{lb}{ft^3}$$

$$\Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{2 \times 1.17}{12.6 \times 2.1^2 \times 0.028} + \frac{1}{10.5 \times 0.32} \left(\frac{1.17 \times 2}{1,150 \times 2.1 \times 0.028}\right)^2, w_{PSV} = \left(\frac{7,060 \times 0.6}{3,600}\right) = 1.17 \frac{lb}{s}$$



Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Tag	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
<	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	>
	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$\Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_i^2 t_o} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_i t_o}\right)^2 = 2.512 + 0.00036 = 2.5\,psi, \quad \Delta P_{Friction} = 5.1\,psi\,(measured)$$

 $P_{S} \times BD = P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad 50 \times 0.08 > 5.1 + 2.5$

 $P_{S} \times BD > \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, 9 \ psi > 7.6 \ psi$





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Тад	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
<	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	

$$P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad \Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_{i}^{2}t_{o}} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_{i}t_{o}}\right)^{2}$$

$$C = 223\sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}} = 223\sqrt{\frac{1.4(85+460)}{28.8}} = 1150\frac{ft}{s}, \quad \rho = \frac{P_{Set}MW}{RT} = \frac{264.7 \times 28.8}{10.73 \times 545} = 1.3\frac{lb}{ft^3}$$

$$\Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{2 \times 0.745}{12.6 \times 0.92^2 \times 0.014} + \frac{1}{10.5 \times 1.3} \left(\frac{0.745 \times 2}{1,150 \times 0.96 \times 0.014} \right)^2, \\ w_{PSV} = \left(\frac{4,470 \times 0.6}{3,600} \right) = 0.745 \frac{lb}{s}$$



Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Тад	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
<	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	>

$$\Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_i^2 t_o} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_i t_o}\right)^2 = 15.37 + 0.001 = 15.4 \, psi, \quad \Delta P_{Friction} = 22.5 \, psi \, (measured)$$

 $P_{S} \times BD = P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad 250 \times 0.025 > 15.4 + 22.5$

 $P_{S} \times BD > \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad 31.3 \ psi > 37.9 \ psi$





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Sample Problems (Criteria 2 – Line Length)

		PSV		Inlet					
	Тад	Capacity	Initial	Pipng	P _{Set}	P _{Back}	T _{inlet}		
	Number	(lb/hr)	Lift (%)	(ft)	(PSIG)	(PSIG)	(°F)	MW	
	PSV-3 (2J3)	7,060	60%	2	50.0	4	85	28.8	
<	PSV-8 (1E2)	4,470	60%	2	250.0	20	85	28.8	>

$$\Delta P_{Accustic} = \frac{Lw_{PSV}}{12.6d_i^2 t_o} + \frac{1}{10.5\rho} \left(\frac{w_{PSV}L}{cd_i t_o}\right)^2 = 15.37 + 0.001 = 15.4 \, psi, \quad \Delta P_{Friction} = 22.5 \, psi \, (measured)$$

 $P_{S} \times BD = P_{S} - P_{RC} > \Delta P_{Total} = \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}, \quad 250 \times 0.025 > 15.4 + 22.5$

 $P_{S} \times BD > \Delta P_{Frictional} + \Delta P_{Accustic}$, 31.3 psi > 37.9 psi





Semi-Validated Method from Literature



1. Equations 1.1 and 1.2 are "optimistic"

$$L < 111.5t_{open} \sqrt{\frac{kT}{MW}}, Eq \, 1.1; L_i < 9,078 \frac{d_i^2}{w_{\%0}} (P_s - P_B) t_o, Eq \, 1.2$$

- 2. Eq. 1.3 is most "accurate" $L_i < 45,390 \frac{d_i^2}{w_{\%0}} \left(\frac{P_s P_{rc}}{P_s} \right) (P_s P_B) t_o$
- 3. Eq. 2.0 (Acoustic & Friction ΔP) conservative



Experimental Validation

Comparison to API PERF Study (Source 15)

Model	PERF	Model	Eq. 1.3	Eq. 2.0	No. Of
Correlation	Results	Prediction			Cases
Agreement	Chatter	Chatter	9	9	9
Agreement	Stable	Stable	26	14	14
False Negative	Chatter	Stable	0	0	0
False Positive	Stable	Chatter	12	24	24
Agreement ¹	Not Tested	Chatter	7	7	7
	74 (78)	49 ((56)		

Note 1: There are a number of cases that were not tested, but were assumed to chatter as the reason for not being tested was not included but assumed to be damage from previous runs.





Semi-Validated Method from Literature

Experimental Validation

Comparison to the Zahorsky Data (Source 31)





Comparison to the Zahorsky Data (Source 31)

Run	Exp. Determined	Predicted	Δ Blowdown
	Blowdown	Blowdown ¹	(Pred. – Exp)
1	3.9%	4.0% (0.3 / 4.0)	0.1%
2	3.9%	5.6% (2.0 / 5.6)	1.7%
3	5.6%	9.7% (4.7 / 9.7)	4.1%
4	8.4% ²	16.7% (9.4 / 16.7)	8.3%
5	8.3%	12.6% (6.3 / 12.6)	4.3%
6	4.3%	5.3% (0.3 / 5.3)	1.0%

Note 1: The values are (Eq. 1.3 / Eq. 2.0) in percent.

2: The only case with agreement for Eq. 1.3





Recommendations For PERF-II

- 1. Is increasing PSV blowdown enough?
- 2. How do pipe diameter changes affect stability...

 $R_{\pi} = \frac{(A_1 - A_2)^2}{(A_1 + A_2)^2} > \frac{2}{3}$, Reflection for acoustic boundary

 $T_{\pi} = \frac{4A_1A_2}{(A_1+A_2)^2}$, Transmission of acoustic losses

- 3. Do acoustic losses degrade with distance?
- 4. What is the opening time relevant to chatter?
- 5. Do the valves pop to XX% open?
- 6. Does backpressure affect chatter? For bellows?
- 7. This is generic, is it conservative enough?

